

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Carol H Spain, Chicago (1) 312-233-7062; carol.spain@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

David H Smith, Chicago (312) 233-7029; david.smith@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Lake Mills Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$5.63 mil GO rfdg bnds dtd 03/26/2015 due 03/01/2028

Long Term Rating AA/Stable New

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to Lake Mills Area School District, Wis.' general obligation (GO) refunding bonds dated March 26, 2015. At the same time, Standard & Poor's affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the district's existing GO debt. The outlook on all ratings is stable.

The rating reflects our opinion of the district's:

- Access to the diverse Madison and Milwaukee metropolitan area economies;
- Good income and very strong wealth indicators;
- Increasing enrollment trend, which is directly tied to state aid receipts; and
- Very strong general fund reserves, supported by consistently strong operating results.

In our view, the district's high per capita debt burden is an offsetting factor. While we consider district incomes good, they are below those of higher rated peers.

The district's unlimited-tax, full faith and credit GO pledge secures debt service on the bonds and the notes. District officials will use the GO refunding bond proceeds to advance refund a portion of the GO improvement bonds dated March 2, 2009.

Lake Mills Area School District is in Jefferson County, where it serves an estimated population of 9,360. It is along Interstate 94 between Madison and Milwaukee, spanning 78 square miles. The local economy centers on agriculture and small manufacturing; residents also have access to employment opportunities in Madison, about 25 miles to the west, and the Milwaukee suburbs. Jefferson County's average annual unemployment rate in 2013 was 6.2%, below the state average of 6.7% and the national average of 7.4%. Jefferson County unemployment decreased to 5.3% as of December 2014. We consider median household and per capita effective buying incomes good at 103% and 98%, respectively, of national levels. Equalized value (EV) decreased from 2011 through 2013, before increasing in 2014 by 4.3% due to rebounding property values and rising building activity. EV on a per capita basis totals \$96,570, which we view as very strong. Management expects modest growth in the future.

Enrollment has increased at an annual average of 2% during the past six years to 1,473 in school year 2014-2015 and officials expect it to be stable over the next few years. A three-year moving enrollment average determines Wisconsin school district revenue. While annual fluctuations in the student count do not have a material effect on finances.

continuing positive or negative enrollment trends could lead to an increase or decrease, respectively, in revenue.

The district's steady enrollment trend has contributed to finances we consider very strong. Most recently, it reported a \$294,000 general fund surplus to end fiscal 2014, increasing reserves to \$4 million, or a very strong 27% of expenditures. The surplus was tied to various revenues coming in better and below budgeted expenditures. In fiscal 2013, the district experienced a slight deficit of \$34,000; however, we understand this reflected a transfer of \$695,000 of unspent bond proceeds from the general fund to the debt service fund to lower the debt service levy and the timing of the settlement of labor agreements, and was not related to operations. Management has budgeted for a slight, \$54,000 surplus for fiscal 2015, and actual results are tracking closely with the budget so far. In fiscal 2016, management expects to supplement the current bond issuance proceeds with \$300,000-\$500,000 of general fund cash to complete the capital improvement projects, but reserves would remain very strong. Currently, the proposed school funding formula for the upcoming biennium is relatively flat compared with fiscal 2015, and management indicated that it would take measures to be operationally balanced over the next two years.

Through electorate approval, the district has exceeded the revenue limit during the past decade. Out of four referendums, the override has been approved two times, in 2006 and 2008. The most recent override, which raised \$200,000 annually for technology purposes, expired in fiscal 2013. Management has not planned to seek another revenue limit override due to its current financial position, nor does it plan to do so, particularly because of the pension and health care cost savings it has achieved under the Wisconsin Budget Repair Bill.

We consider the district's financial management practices "good" under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them. The district has a formal policy to maintain a 20% operating reserve. It also has comprehensive and realistic budget assumptions and reports to the board frequently on budget-to-actual results. The district maintains a five-year facilities plan, but it has not updated its long-term financial plan for operations in recent years.

Overall debt, including overlapping debt, is \$5,092 per capita, which we consider high. As a percentage of estimated market value, overall net debt is 5.3%, which we consider moderate. Debt service carrying charges are 11.23% of governmental expenditures, which we also view as moderate. The district plans to retire 47% of its direct debt within 10 years, which we view as average. The district has no future debt plans at this time.

The district participates in the Wisconsin Retirement System, a state-administered pension plan, and a supplemental pension defined-benefit plan for its employees. Fiscal 2014 pension contributions from the district equaled 3.2% of governmental expenditures less capital outlay. The district also provides other postemployment benefits (OPEBs) for its employees. As of the most recent actuarial date of July 1, 2012, the OPEB plan was 5.6% funded. The supplemental pension plan was 10% funded. Combined fiscal 2013 contributions equaled 5.9% of governmental expenditures less capital outlay, which we view as manageable.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the district will maintain balanced operations and very strong general fund reserves, even considering draws on the general fund balance for capital purposes. The district's participation in the Milwaukee and Madison broad and diverse area economies supports our view that economic indicators and enrollment will remain stable or grow.

If the district's reserves deteriorate substantially to levels we no longer consider very strong, we could lower the rating. A higher rating would require material improvement in the district's income levels and debt profile, which we do not anticipate within the two-year outlook period.

Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006

Ratings Detail (As Of March 9, 2015)		
Lake Mills Area School District GO Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Lake Mills Area Sch Dist GO prom nts Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Lake Mills Area Sch Dist GO (ASSURED GTY) Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.